

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,758	03/31/2004	Samit Kumar Basu	140361-1/YOD GERD:0123	5263
6147 7569 11/22/2010 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH			EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY	
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE BLDG, K1-3A59			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA, N	Y 12309		2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@ge.com robertsr@ge.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/813,758	BASU ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NANCY BITAR	2624			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed. may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. X Other: Applicant argues that the measured projection data is processed to generate variance data and a variance map from the measured projection data. Moreover Apllicant argues the burden of establishing a prima facie case of obviousness fails on the examiner and that the reference teaches away from their combination and the references is not based on objective evidence of record. Additionally the applicant argues that Shih and Liang do not disclose 'generating a variance map from measured projection data from the tomography system and formulating a variance measure based upon the measured projection data and generating the variance map from the variance measure using a reconstruction algorithm. Moreover, the noise distribution of the projection data has an approximate

In response, Shih teaches Variance projections of the variations between the object and standard projections (same object) for particular perspectives are generated. Variant portions of the variance projections are identified and used to generate a 3D reconstruction of just the variations between the object and the standard. The 3D reconstruction can then be evaluated to questive the variations between the object and the standard. The 3D reconstruction can then be evaluated to questive the object of the standard projection can be considered the measured projection where graphical user interface can provide variance data to the operator. For example, a graphics generator of the numerical analyzer 470 can superimpose the variance reconstruction of the variations over a stored 3D reconstruction of the standard of provide the operator with a visual indication of the differences between the object and the standard. The composite of the standard and variance reconstructions can be enhanced, for example through the use of colors or shading, to highlight defects for the operator. The operator that such graphics can also be displayed while object qualification is being differmined automatically by the numerical analyzer 470. Moreover, the variance map can be based on identifying variant portions can include describing the locations of the varianc or profroms within the intensity

Gaussian functional instead of usually assumed Poisson distribution.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 /Wes Tucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101112